

Assignment 10

1. Use the finite-difference method with $h = 0.2$ to approximate a solution to the boundary value problem

$$u^{(2)}(x) = 0.3u^{(1)}(x) + 0.1u(x) - x - 0.2$$

$$u(0) = 2$$

$$u(1) = 3$$

First, rewrite the ODE as $u^{(2)}(x) - 0.3u^{(1)}(x) - 0.1u(x) = -x - 0.2$. Next, we note that we can determine p , q and r as follows:

```
a2 = 1.0;
a1 = -0.3;
a0 = -0.1;
u_a = 2;
u_b = 3;
h = 0.2;
% This is a LODE with constant coefficients, so
% all three values 'p', 'q' and 'r' are also constant:
p = 2*a2 - a1*h;
q = -4*a2 + 2*a0*h^2;
r = 2*a2 + a1*h;

% This is the forcing function
g = @(x)(-x - 0.2);
% This is the corresponding matrix
A = [q r 0 0
      p q r 0
      0 p q r
      0 0 p q];

%
% The target vector is 2*g(x) h^2 for k = 1, 2, 3, n - 1.
%
b = 2*g( [0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8]' ) * h^2
% We have two Dirichlet boundary conditions, so we must update
% the first and last vector entries:
b(1) = b(1) - p*u_a;
b(end) = b(end) - r*u_b;
% Solve the system of linear equations
u = A \ b;
% Add back the values at 0 and 1:
u = [2; u; 3]
u = 2.0000
    2.2041
    2.4135
    2.6210
    2.8192
    3.0000
```

2. Use the finite-difference method with $h = 0.2$ to approximate a solution to the boundary value problem

$$u^{(2)}(x) = 0.3u^{(1)}(x) + 0.1u(x) - x - 0.2$$

$$u^{(1)}(0) = 0$$

$$u(1) = 3$$

The set up is similar, but now we have

```
% This is the corresponding matrix
```

```
A = [q r 0 0
      p q r 0
      0 p q r
      0 0 p q];
```

```
%
% The target vector is 2*g(x ) h2 for k = 1, 2, 3, n - 1.
```

```
%
b = 2*g( [0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8]' )*h^2
```

```
% We have one insulated boundary condition, so we must update
% the first row of the matrix:
```

```
A(1, 1) = A(1, 1) + (4.0/3.0)*p;
```

```
A(1, 2) = A(1, 2) - (1.0/3.0)*p;
```

```
% We have one two Dirichlet boundary conditions, so we must update
% the last vector entries:
```

```
b(end) = b(end) - r*u_b;
```

```
% Solve the system of linear equations
```

```
u = A \ b;
```

```
% Add back the values at x = 0 and x = 1, but at the left, we must
% have points that have a slope 0 at x = 0.
```

```
u = [(4.0/3.0)*u(1) - (1.0/3.0)*u(2); u; 3]
```

```
u = 3.1341
     3.1323
     3.1267
     3.1090
     3.0700
     3.00000
```

3. Given the function $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = t x_1 x_2 - x_1 + 2x_2$, approximate the partial derivative with respect to time and the gradient at the point $t = 0.2$ and $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 \\ -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$ using a value of $\Delta t = h = 0.1$.

This can be done by hand, but here it is with Matlab:

```

u = @(x, t)( t*x(1)*x(2) - x(1) + 2*x(2) );
t = 0.2;
x = [0.3 -0.5]';
dt = 0.1;
h = 0.1;
% The partial w.r.t. time
(u(x, t + dt) - u(x, t - dt))/(2*dt)
    ans = -0.15000
% The gradient, or the partials with respect to the first and second
% entries of the space argument
[(u(x + h*[1 0]', t) - u(x - h*[1 0]', t))/(2*h)
 (u(x + h*[0 1]', t) - u(x - h*[0 1]', t))/(2*h)]
    ans = -1.1000
         2.0600

```

Note, because the function is linear in each of the variables, these approximations are actually also the exact values. In Maple:

```

# Define a multi-variate function 'u'
u := (x, y, t) -> t*x*y - x + 2*y;
# Calculate the partial derivative with respect to the third variable:
D[3](u)(0.3, -0.5, 0.2);
                                -0.15

# Calculate the gradient, or a vectors of the partials w.r.t. the first
# second variables
<D[1](u)(0.3, -0.5, 0.2), D[2](u)(0.3, -0.5, 0.2)>;
                                (-1.10)
                                ( 2.06)

```

4. Given the function in Question 3, approximate all three second partials: with respect to t , x_1 , and x_2 .

Because all these are linear in each of the variables, the concavity everywhere is zero, and this is shown by the approximations:

```
u = @(t, x)( t*x(1)*x(2) - x(1) + 2*x(2) );
t = 0.2;
x = [0.3 -0.5]';
dt = 0.1;
h = 0.1;
% Approximate the second partial w.r.t. time
(u(t + dt, x) - 2*u(t, x) + u(t - dt, x))/(dt^2)
ans = 2.2204e-14
% Approximate the second partial w.r.t. the first space variable
(u(t, x + h*[1 0]') - 2*u(t, x) + u(t, x - h*[1 0]'))/(h^2)
ans = 2.2204e-14
% Approximate the second partial w.r.t. the second space variable
(u(t, x + h*[0 1]') - 2*u(t, x) + u(t, x - h*[0 1]'))/(h^2)
ans = 0
```

5. In class, we did not discuss an explicit formula for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} u(x, y)$. Come up with such a formula. Show that your formula works by calculating this second partial explicitly using the process shown in your calculus course for the function in $xe^x \sin(y)$ at $x = 1$ and $y = 2$, and then calculating your approximation using $h = 0.01$.

The partial with respect to the first variable is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} u(x, y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u(x, y) \\ &\approx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{u(x, y+h) - u(x, y-h)}{2h} \\ &\approx \frac{\frac{u(x+h, y+h) - u(x+h, y-h)}{2h} - \frac{u(x-h, y+h) - u(x-h, y-h)}{2h}}{2h} \\ &= \frac{u(x+h, y+h) - u(x+h, y-h) - u(x-h, y+h) + u(x-h, y-h)}{4h^2} \end{aligned}$$

The second partial with respect to y and then to x for the given function is $e^x \cos(y) + xe^x \cos(y)$, and we evaluate:

% The bivariate function

`u = @(x, y)(x*exp(x)*sin(y));`

% The second partial w.r.t. the second variable, and then the first

`ddu = @(x, y)(exp(x)*cos(y) + x*exp(x)*cos(y));`

`h = 0.01;`

% Our approximation at $x = 1$ and $y = 2$

`(u(1+h, 2+h) - u(1+h, 2-h) - u(1-h, 2+h) + u(1-h, 2-h))/(4*h^2)`

`ans = -2.262446473823010`

% The actual second partial at $x = 1$ and $y = 2$

`ddu(1, 2)`

`ans = -2.262408767513627`

6. Demonstrate that the formula for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} u(x, y)$ that you found in Question 5 is the same formula you would find if you were to approximate $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} u(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} u(x, y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x, y) \\
 &\approx \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{u(x+h, y) - u(x-h, y)}{2h} \\
 &\approx \frac{\frac{u(x+h, y+h) - u(x-h, y+h)}{2h} - \frac{u(x+h, y-h) - u(x-h, y-h)}{2h}}{2h} \\
 &= \frac{u(x+h, y+h) - u(x-h, y+h) - u(x+h, y-h) + u(x-h, y-h)}{4h^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

You will see that this is simply a rearrangement of the terms in the numerator of Question 5.

7. Approximate a solution to the heat equation with four steps in time if the boundary conditions are $u_a(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$ and $u_b(t) = 2$ for $t > 0$ and the initial state is $u_0(x) = 1 - x$ if the interval in space is $[0, 1]$ and $h = 0.2$. The coefficient $\alpha = 4$. You should use a Δt , as described in the course notes, to ensure convergence.

If $\frac{\alpha\Delta t}{h^2} < \frac{1}{2}$, then find Δt so that $\frac{\alpha\Delta t}{h^2} = \frac{1}{4}$ or $\Delta t = \frac{h^2}{4\alpha}$, so us $\Delta t = 0.0025$.

To do this by hand, we observe that our space-interval is $[0, 1]$ and $h = 0.2$, so $n_x = 5$, and the six x values are $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 0.2, x_2 = 0.4, x_3 = 0.6, x_4 = 0.8$ and $x_5 = 1$. Because the $u_0(x) = 1 - x$, we can therefore calculate $u_{0,0} = u_0(x_0) = u_0(0) = 1, u_{1,0} = u_0(x_1) = u_0(0.2) = 0.8, u_{2,0} = 0.6, u_{3,0} = 0.4, u_{4,0} = 0.2, u_{5,0} = 0$.

Thus, we have:

| k | x_k | $u_{k,0}$ | | | |
|-----|-------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | | | |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | | | |
| 3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | | | |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | | | |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | | | |

Now, the boundary values are not turned on until after the first step, so the formula is just

$$u_{k,\ell+1} \leftarrow u_{k,\ell} + \alpha\Delta t (u_{k-1,\ell} - 2u_{k,\ell} + u_{k+1,\ell})/h^2,$$

and in this case, $\alpha\Delta t/h^2 = 4 \cdot 0.0025/0.2^2 = 0.25$, so the calculation is

$$u_{k,\ell+1} \leftarrow u_{k,\ell} + 0.25(u_{k-1,\ell} - 2u_{k,\ell} + u_{k+1,\ell}).$$

We start by calculating the four interior points with $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and in all cases, the sum in the parentheses is equal to zero, so there is no change in the u values! For example, $1 - 2 \cdot 0.8 + 0.6 = 0$. The two boundary values, however, now are changed.

Thus, we have:

| k | x_k | $u_{k,0}$ | $u_{k,1}$ | | |
|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | |
| 3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | | |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | $u_{4,2}$ | |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | | |

Once again, we calculate the four interior points:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,2} &\leftarrow u_{1,1} + 0.25(u_{0,1} - 2u_{1,1} + u_{2,1}) = 0.8 + 0.25(0.0 - 2 \cdot 0.8 + 0.6) = 0.55 \\ u_{2,2} &\leftarrow u_{2,1} + 0.25(u_{1,1} - 2u_{2,1} + u_{3,1}) = 0.6 + 0.25(0.8 - 2 \cdot 0.6 + 0.4) = 0.6 \\ u_{3,2} &\leftarrow u_{3,1} + 0.25(u_{2,1} - 2u_{3,1} + u_{4,1}) = 0.4 + 0.25(0.6 - 2 \cdot 0.4 + 0.2) = 0.4 \\ u_{4,2} &\leftarrow u_{4,1} + 0.25(u_{3,1} - 2u_{4,1} + u_{5,1}) = 0.2 + 0.25(0.4 - 2 \cdot 0.2 + 2.0) = 0.7 \end{aligned}$$

As you may expect, it is getting cooler at the one end, and warmer at the other. There is no change to the boundary values.

Thus, we have:

| k | x_k | $u_{k,0}$ | $u_{k,1}$ | $u_{k,2}$ | |
|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.55 | |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | $u_{2,3}$ |
| 3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |

Once again, we calculate the four interior points:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{1,3} &\leftarrow u_{1,2} + 0.25(u_{0,2} - 2u_{1,2} + u_{2,2}) = 0.55 + 0.25(0.0 - 2 \cdot 0.55 + 0.6) = 0.425 \\
 u_{2,3} &\leftarrow u_{2,2} + 0.25(u_{1,2} - 2u_{2,2} + u_{3,2}) = 0.6 + 0.25(0.55 - 2 \cdot 0.6 + 0.4) = 0.5375 \\
 u_{3,3} &\leftarrow u_{3,2} + 0.25(u_{2,2} - 2u_{3,2} + u_{4,2}) = 0.4 + 0.25(0.6 - 2 \cdot 0.4 + 0.7) = 0.525 \\
 u_{4,3} &\leftarrow u_{4,2} + 0.25(u_{3,2} - 2u_{4,2} + u_{5,2}) = 0.7 + 0.25(0.4 - 2 \cdot 0.7 + 2.0) = 0.95
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have, again with the same boundary values:

| k | x_k | $u_{k,0}$ | $u_{k,1}$ | $u_{k,2}$ | $u_{k,3}$ |
|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

% To do this in Matlab, first, set up the problem

alpha = 4;

a = 0;

b = 1;

u_init = @(x)(1 - x);

u_a = @(t)(0);

u_b = @(t)(2);

% Second, set up the x-values, so 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

h = 0.2;

Nx = 5; % The number of intervals in x

xs = 0:h:1;

% Third, set up the time values, so 0.0 0.0025 0.0050 0.0075 0.01

dt = 0.0025;

Nt = 4; % The number of intervals in t

ts = 0:dt:(4*dt);

% Set up the grid as a 2-d array

U = zeros(Nx + 1, Nt + 1);

```

% We will assign the initial values at time t = 0 (in red) by calling
% the function u_init(x) and then with each time step, we will call the
% init k
% boundary value functions u(t) and u(t) to determine the values
% at the blue and cyan points, respectively.

```

```

% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 0 0 0 0 0
% 0.2 | 0 0 0 0 0
% 0.4 | 0 0 0 0 0
% 0.6 | 0 0 0 0 0
% 0.8 | 0 0 0 0 0
% b = 1.0 | 0 0 0 0 0
%
% +-----+
% t 0 0.0025 0.0050 0.0075 0.0100
% ell

```

```

% Thus, call and initialize the values at t = 0
%

```

```

for k = 1:(Nx + 1)
    U(k,1) = u_init(xs(k));
end

```

```

% Now the 2d-array looks as follow, where the initial function is 1 - x

```

```

% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 1.0 0 0 0 0
% 0.2 | 0.8 0 0 0 0
% 0.4 | 0.6 0 0 0 0
% 0.6 | 0.4 0 0 0 0
% 0.8 | 0.2 0 0 0 0
% b = 1.0 | 0.0 0 0 0 0
%
% +-----+
% t 0 0.0025 0.0050 0.0075 0.0100
% ell

```

```

for ell = 1:Nt
    for k = 2:Nx
        % Estimate the temperature at the four interior points
        %     The previous value
        %           alpha dt
        %     plus the ratio -----
        %           2
        %           h
        %     multiplied by the specified linear combination of
        %     the previous three values in space
        U(k, ell+1) = U(k, ell) + alpha*dt/h^2*( ...
            U(k-1, ell) - 2*U(k, ell) + U(k+1, ell) ...
        );

        % Evaluate the left-hand boundary (at a = 0) at
        % corresponding time
        U(1, ell+1) = u_a(ts(ell+1));

        % Similarly, evaluate the right-hand boundary (at b = 1)
        % at the corresponding time
        U(Nx+1, ell+1) = u_b(ts(ell+1));
    end
end

```

% After the first loop, because the initial state is in the steady state, there is no change, except for the two boundary values:

```

% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 1.0      0.0      0      0      0
% 0.2    | 0.8      0.8      0      0      0
% 0.4    | 0.6      0.6      0      0      0
% 0.6    | 0.4      0.4      0      0      0
% 0.8    | 0.2      0.2      0      0      0
% b = 1.0 | 0.0      2.0      0      0      0
%
% +-----+
% t      0      0.0025  0.0050  0.0075  0.0100
%
% ell

```

% After the second loop, the left side at 'a' cools, while the right side at 'b' heats up:

```

% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 1.0      0.0      0.0      0      0
% 0.2    | 0.8      0.8      0.55     0      0
% 0.4    | 0.6      0.6      0.6      0      0
% 0.6    | 0.4      0.4      0.4      0      0
% 0.8    | 0.2      0.2      0.7      0      0
% b = 1.0 | 0.0      2.0      2.0      0      0
%
% +-----+
% t      0      0.0025  0.0050  0.0075  0.0100
%
% ell

```

% After the third loop, the left side at 'a' continues to cool, while
 % the right side at 'b' continues to heats up, however, the cooling
 % and heating is continuing to propagate:

```
% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 1.0      0.0      0.0      0.0      0
% 0.2   | 0.8      0.8      0.55     0.425    0
% 0.4   | 0.6      0.6      0.6      0.5375   0
% 0.6   | 0.4      0.4      0.4      0.525    0
% 0.8   | 0.2      0.2      0.7      0.95     0
% b = 1.0 | 0.0      2.0      2.0      0.0      0
%
% -----
% t      0      0.0025   0.0050   0.0075   0.0100
%
% ell
```

% After the fourth loop, heat propagation continues:

```
% x
% k
% a = 0.0 | 1.0      0.0      0.0      0.0      0
% 0.2   | 0.8      0.8      0.55     0.425    0.246875
% 0.4   | 0.6      0.6      0.6      0.5375   0.50625
% 0.6   | 0.4      0.4      0.4      0.525    0.634375
% 0.8   | 0.2      0.2      0.7      0.95     1.10625
% b = 1.0 | 0.0      2.0      2.0      2.0      2.0
%
% -----
% t      0      0.0025   0.0050   0.0075   0.0100
%
% ell
```

Acknowledgement: Andy Liu for pointing out an index was incorrect in the solution to Question 2. Harsh Patel for asking me to add an expanded worked-out answer for Question 7. Dhyey Patel for noting that I accidentally referred to $f^{(1)}(x)$ instead of $u^{(1)}(x)$ in Question 1.